

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,259	12/21/2001	Warren McKinney	717228.7	6172
27128 75	590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP 720 OLIVE STREET			CHANG, YEAN HSI	
SUITE 2400	KEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, M	ST. LOUIS, MO 63101			
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/037,259	MCKINNEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yean-Hsi Chang	2835			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		` ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	. •			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	•	·			
Attachment(s)	🗖				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/037,259 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 3-6 and 10 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Mitchell (US 6,113,454). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitchell (US 6,113,454) in view of De Lange (US 3,780,482).

Mitchell teaches a data storage device display assembly including:

- A display panel (11, fig. 1) having at least two main surfaces (shown in fig. 2, not labeled) with one being a display surface (surface facing the front) (claim
 1)
- ➤ A data storage device attachment device (140, fig. 4) secured to said display surface, including a carrier strip (140, fig. 4), a first adhesive (backed

Application/Control Number: 10/037,259

Art Unit: 2835

adhesive on one side of 140, fig. 2; see col. 4, lines 34-35) being a permanent adhesive, on a first face (back face of 140, fig. 4) and adhered to the display surface, and a second adhesive (Velcro on the other side of 140, fig. 4; also see col. 4, lines 66-67) on a second face (front face, not labeled), being a reusable and pressure sensitive adhesive (such as Velco) (claims 1 and 4-5)

Page 3

- ➤ A data storage device (38, fig. 1) being in contact with the second adhesive and removably secured to the panel (claim 1)
- ➤ Wherein the data storage device includes a data card (39, fig. 1) (claim 6)
- Wherein the carrier strip is made of a polymeric material (a well known knowledge; Velcro is made of one kind of polymeric material) (claim 7)
- Wherein the peel strength of the second adhesive with the data storage device is less than the peel strength of the first adhesive with the display panel (e.g. nature of Velcro strip) (claim 8)
- Wherein the second adhesive has a surface area of contact on the card less than a surface area of contact of the first adhesive on the display panel (the area of front surface of Velcro is always less than the area of backed adhesive) (claim 9)
- Wherein the second adhesive is present on the carrier in a plurality of spots
 (Velco is formed with individual hooks and loops) (claim 10)
- ➤ A method of making a data storage device display assembly as claimed in claims 11-15, being disclosed inherently in the specification (claims 11-15). Mitchell fails to teach the first adhesive being shrink resistant.

Art Unit: 2835

De Lange teaches a shrink resistant adhesive for securing panels 56 to braces 44 (see col. 3, lines 29-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Mitchell with the adhesive taught by De Lange so that the display panel would not be deformed after applying the adhesive.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Correspondence

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2038. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Art Unit phone number is (571) 272-2800, ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and for After Final communications. There are RightFax numbers and provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt by the USPTO: Before-Final (703-872-9318) and After-Final (703-872-9319).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,259 Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2835 March 5, 2004

Ment Chauf